IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION NO : 13396 of 2005

Between:

Smt.G.Padmamma, W/o.Chandra Mouli,
R/o.Vedenaparthy Village, kalway Mandal, Nellore district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, kalway Mandal, Nellore district.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nellore, Nellore district.
3 The Joint Collector, Nellore, Nellore District.
4 The District Collector, Nellore, Nellore district.

..RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order, direction, more particularly one in the name of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or direction to the Respondent No.4 to initiate enquiry in the petitioner's case and conduct Departmental enquiry against the respondents No.1 to 3, and submit the same before this Hon'ble Court

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.SARAVANA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

1. In this writ petition, the petitioner questions as illegal and arbitrary the inaction of the 4th respondent in not disposing of the revision, pending before him, despite the petitioner having personally attended the enquiry on 6-6-2005.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Govt. Pleader and with their consent this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the livelihood of the petitioner is at stake and any delay in disposing of the revision petition would cause irreparable injury to the petitioner.

4. The 4th respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the revision petition filed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible not later than one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5 . The petitioner contends in para-6 of the affidavit, that the respondents have already initiated steps to appoint another dealer in her place, even before the revision petition filed by the petitioner is heard and disposed of. The respondents are hereby directed not to appoint any permanent dealer in the place of the petitioner, till the revision petition filed by the petitioner is disposed of by the 4th respondent. Writ petitioner is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

_____

Ramesh Ranganathan,J

23rd June, 2005.

KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, kalway Mandal, Nellore district.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nellore, Nellore district.
3 The Joint Collector, Nellore, Nellore District.
4 The District Collector, Nellore, Nellore district.

5. 2 CCs to G.P. for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

6. 2 CD copies

7. 1 CC to MR.SARAVANA KUMAR

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 13396 of 2005

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

23rd June, 2005.